Stiftung Tierärztliche Hochschule Hannover

University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover

HGNI (Hannover Graduate School for Veterinary Pathobiology, Neuroinfectiology, and Translational Medicine)



Questionnaire on Evaluation of Courses of the HGNI

Your previous de	gree: Vet. med. 🗆	Biology 🗆	other:	
Course of Study:	PhD Animal and Zoonotic PhD Systems Neuroscien PhD Veterinary Research	ce	□ □ logy □	
Title of Course:				

Lecturer:

4 Total evoluation	1 =	very go	od	6 = very poor		
1. Total evaluation	1	2	3	4	5	6
How do you evaluate the course as a whole?						
How interested were you in the subject before the start of the course?						
What is the case now after the course has ended?						
What was your state of knowledge before attending the course on this subject?						
What is your state of knowledge now?						

2. Structure and content		1 = very good			6 = very poor		
2. Structure and content	1	2	3	4	5	6	
The course was clearly structured							
The goals were identifiable							
The handouts were useful							
The materials facilitate understanding (slides, overhead transparencies, scripts, experimental setups, e.g.)							

3 Teaching supervision		1 = very good			6 = very poor		
3. Teaching supervision	1	2	3	4	5	6	
The teaching was linked to previous knowledge of participants							
Complex issues were explained coherently							
The teaching encouraged involvement of the participants							
Independent dealing with the subject was encouraged							
Suggestions of the participants were taken up							
Different doctrines/methods for analysing were introduced							
Research results and current literature were gone into							

4. Relevance for studies and career	1 =	very go	od	6 = very poor		
4. Relevance for studies and career	1	2	3	4	5	6
The course helped me personally						
The course was – in comparison with self-study – efficient						
I was able to incorporate my interests						
I learnt a great deal in the course						
The course contents are important for my later career						
The covered subjects are important for my studies						
The course helped me personally						

4. Relevance for studies and career	1 = very good			6 = very poor		
		2	3	4	5	6
The required previous knowledge was for me						
The tempo of communicating the subject-matter was for me						
The number of topics was for me						
The complexity of the discussed themes was for me						
My temporary workload due to own/self-study was						
My working hours per week for own/self-study amounted to	ca. 0	h	1–5 h	6-9 I	า	10–15 h

6. External circumstances	yes	no
The course fitted well into the general concept of the course of study		
The group size is appropriate		
The course is well organised		
I would recommend the course to someone else		
Were language barriers taken into account (teaching language, handouts)?		

Further comments: